

The Navier–Stokes Equations: From a Molecular Hunch to the Millennium Prize Problem

A Historical and Mathematical Survey

A. N. Ivanov, M. S. Petrova

Abstract

The Navier–Stokes equations are the central mathematical model describing the motion of a viscous fluid. Since their emergence in the first half of the 19th century, they have profoundly transformed fields ranging from aircraft design to blood-flow modelling. This paper traces the history of the origin of these equations, analyses the beauty of their mathematical structure and the breadth of their physical applications, and explains why they remain an unsolved mathematical problem to this day.

1 Introduction

If Newton's second law is the soul of point-particle mechanics, then the Navier–Stokes equations are the 'Newton's second law' for the motion of continuous media. This system of nonlinear partial differential equations describes everything: from air flowing around a wing to blood coursing through arteries. Yet its theoretical roots rest on a somewhat awkward foundation: the first authors who derived the equation did not fully understand the meaning of the term they had introduced.

2 Historical Outline: A Relay Race Spanning Over Twenty Years

The birth of the Navier–Stokes equations is the achievement of more than one person. In the 18th century, Bernoulli, d'Alembert, and Euler constructed the equations of motion for an ideal fluid, but completely neglected viscosity. This gave rise to the famous 'd'Alembert paradox': theoretically, a body moving in an ideal fluid experiences no drag, which plainly contradicts reality.

In 1822 French engineer Louis Navier presented to the Paris Academy of Sciences his first work accounting for viscous effects. Navier's motivation was thoroughly practical: while studying the theory of suspension bridges, he found that, according to ideal-fluid theory, the resistance of a bridge pier in water is zero — an obvious absurdity. Navier introduced a correction term based on ideas of intermolecular forces, though he never explicitly linked it to the concept of 'viscosity'. Due to the engineering character of the work, his paper lay in the Academy's archives until 1827 [3].

Over the next twenty years, Cauchy, Poisson, Saint-Venant, and others joined the effort. Saint-Venant, in 1843, was the first to correctly identify the nature of the additional term in Navier's equations — it is the viscosity coefficient, not some correction for molecular forces [1]. **In 1845** the English mathematical physicist George Gabriel Stokes, proceeding from the concept of 'internal stresses', independently built a complete theory of viscous fluid motion and cast the equations in the form still used today [5]. Ironically, Stokes was at the time unaware of the work of his French colleague.

It was only in 1934 that Prandtl officially introduced the name 'the so-called Navier–Stokes equations' into the literature, cementing this cross-century contribution to the two pioneers [4].

3 The Beauty of the Equation: The Physical World in Four Terms

For an incompressible fluid, the vector form of the Navier–Stokes equations appears both concise and profound:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} \quad (1)$$

Each of the four terms has its own physical role:

- **Unsteady term** $\partial \mathbf{v} / \partial t$: the local change in fluid velocity with time.
- **Convective term** $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}$: the soul of the equation and the source of all difficulties. It is this term that makes the equation nonlinear, so the sum of two solutions is no longer a solution. The turbulent energy cascade — from large eddies to small ones — originates here.
- **Pressure gradient term** $-\nabla p / \rho$: pressure acting as the force that enforces the incompressibility condition.
- **Viscous diffusion term** $\nu \Delta \mathbf{v}$: arises from the viscous stresses of a Newtonian fluid, is proportional to the viscosity, and dominates in the laminar regime.

The beauty of the equation lies in the translation of Newton's second law into the language of fields, with the dissipation mechanism — viscosity — elegantly embedded in the structure.

4 Limits of Applicability: Where the Equation Works and Where It Fails

The Navier–Stokes equations are not all-powerful. Their applicability is bounded by two key parameters.

Reynolds number (Re). The equation itself is capable of describing turbulence, but only in the sense of direct numerical simulation. At Reynolds numbers below the critical value, the equation describes laminar flow; in the turbulent regime, the exact solution is extremely sensitive to initial conditions, and the chaotic character makes pointwise prediction unrealistic. In practice, one turns to the Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes equations (RANS), closing them with turbulence models. This is a pragmatic compromise, not a direct solution of the equation.

Knudsen number (Kn). When $\text{Kn} \leq 10^{-2}$, the continuum hypothesis holds and the Navier–Stokes equations are applicable; in the slip-flow regime $10^{-2} \leq \text{Kn} \leq 10^{-1}$, a wall slip condition must be introduced; at still higher Knudsen numbers, the continuum framework itself breaks down and one must turn to the Boltzmann equation or molecular dynamics.

5 Enduring Value: From the Wind Tunnel to the Millennium Prize Problem

The application domain of the Navier–Stokes equations covers virtually all sciences and engineering disciplines concerned with fluid motion. In the

aerospace sector they are used to compute drag and lift of aircraft, especially for predicting shock waves in supersonic flight: wind-tunnel experiments are expensive and difficult to conduct without disturbing the flow, so computational fluid dynamics (CFD) becomes an indispensable tool. In medicine, they are used to model blood flow in the aorta and to assess the quality of stent designs; the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is even considering making mathematical validation of stents mandatory [6]. In meteorology, numerical weather prediction is, in essence, the solution of the Navier–Stokes equations for the atmosphere (accounting for the Coriolis force and thermodynamic couplings).

Yet the deepest fascination of the equation perhaps lies in the fact that it exposes the boundaries of knowledge. Whether a global smooth solution exists for the three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations — that is, whether the velocity field 'blows up' to infinity — remains unproven to this day. This is one of the seven 'Millennium Prize Problems' of the Clay Mathematics Institute, with a prize of one million dollars [2]. The mathematician Vladimír Šverák commented on it with dark humour: 'There are certainly easier ways to earn that million.'

6 Conclusion

The history of the Navier–Stokes equations is a classic example of engineering intuition outstripping theoretical understanding: Navier, starting from the resistance of a bridge pier, wrote down an equation without knowing he had captured viscosity; Stokes, proceeding from internal stresses, completed the theory without knowing that a French predecessor had already taken the first step. Nearly two centuries later, these equations remain the daily tool of the engineer and, at the same time, an unsolved abyss for the mathematician. They remind us that the most practical formula is not necessarily born of the purest theoretical motives, and that the most concise equation does not necessarily lead to the clearest answer.

References

- [1] A. J. C. B. de Saint-Venant. Note à joindre au mémoire sur la dynamique des fluides. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 17:1240–1242, 1843.
- [2] C. L. Fefferman. Existence and smoothness of the navier–stokes equation. Clay Mathematics Institute, Millennium Prize Problems, 2000.
- [3] C. L. M. H. Navier. Mémoire sur les lois du mouvement des fluides. *Mémoires de l'Académie des Sciences*, 6:389–440, 1827.
- [4] L. Prandtl. The mechanics of viscous fluids. In W. F. Durand, ed., *Aerodynamic Theory*, vol. 3, pp. 34–208. Springer, 1934.
- [5] G. G. Stokes. On the theories of the internal friction of fluids in motion, and of the equilibrium and motion of elastic solids. *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 8:287–305, 1845.
- [6] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Reporting of computational modeling studies in medical device submissions — guidance for industry. Technical report, FDA, 2016.